#### COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004 2401 TEL 202 662 6000 FAX 202 662 6291 WWW COV COM REIJING
RRUSSELS
LONDON
NEW YORK
SAN DIEGO
SAN FRANCISCO
SILICON VALLEY
WASHINGTON

MICHAEL L. ROSENTHAL TEL 202 662 5448 FAX 202 778 5448 MROSENTHAL @ COV COM

October 19, 2011

#### BY E-FILE

Ms. Cynthia T. Brown Chief, Section of Administration Office of Proceedings Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423 Calcolor Part of and Professional

Re: Canexus Chemicals Canada L.P. v. BNSF Railway Company,

Docket No. 42131 and Finance Docket No. 35524

Dear Ms. Brown:

23/13/

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket are an original and ten copies of Union Pacific's Reply to BNSF Railway Company's Petition to Vacate Emergency Service Order.

Please indicate receipt and filing by date-stamping the enclosed extra copy and returning it to our messenger.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Rosenthal

Counsel for Union Pacific Railroad

Company

Enclosure

cc: Thomas Wilcox Samuel M. Sipe, Jr. Terence M. Hynes

# BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

CANEXUS CHEMICALS CANADA L.P.,	) )
Complainant,	)
v.	) Docket No. 42131 ) Finance Docket No. 35524
BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY,	)
Defendant.	)

#### UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY'S REPLY TO BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY'S PETITION TO VACATE EMERGENCY SERVICE ORDER

J. MICHAEL HEMMER LOUISE A. RINN DANIELLE E. BODE Union Pacific Railroad Company 1400 Douglas Street Omaha, Nebraska 68179 Phone: (402) 544-3309

MICHAEL L. ROSENTHAL Covington & Burling LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Phone: (202) 662-6000

Attorneys for Union Pacific Railroad Company

October 19, 2011

## BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

CANEXUS CHEMICALS CANADA L.P.,	)	
Complainant,	)	
v.	)	Docket No. 42131 Finance Docket No. 35524
BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY,	)	T mance Docket No. 33324
Defendant.	) ) )	

#### UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY'S REPLY TO BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY'S PETITION TO VACATE EMERGENCY SERVICE ORDER

Union Pacific Railroad Company ("UP") agrees with BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") that the Board should vacate the emergency service order imposed on BNSF and UP in the Board's decision served October 14, 2011. UP has no objection to maintaining the status quo to permit the briefing the Board seeks, but the Board's exercise of its emergency service powers in this proceeding will cause confusion and unnecessary litigation in the future. The Board may not impose an emergency service order to maintain the status quo while it resolves a commercial dispute. An emergency service order constitutes extraordinary relief that is appropriate only to remedy a failure of traffic movement.

The portion of the Board's emergency service order directed at UP has no valid basis in fact or law. It requires UP to transport Canexus's chlorine from an interchange in Kansas City, Missouri, to final destinations in Illinois, Arkansas, and Texas. Such an order is wholly unnecessary. UP and Canexus are currently parties to a contract under which UP is obligated to provide that service. Nothing in the record indicates that UP is unwilling to

continue providing service under the parties' contract. Accordingly, the Board had no basis for directing an emergency service order at UP.

The portion of the Board's order directed at BNSF also appears to be unnecessary, especially in light of BNSF's petition. In its petition, BNSF affirms that it is willing for the time being to continue carrying Canexus's traffic to Kansas City. (BNSF Petition at 2.) The petition also makes clear that this case involves a commercial dispute, not a service emergency. BNSF does not reveal the details of its discussions with Canexus, but the petition shows that there are commercial terms under which BNSF is willing to provide Canexus with service to Kansas City. (Id. at 2, 4-5.) BNSF's petition further shows that Canexus could obtain service to Kansas City via Canadian Pacific Railway Company ("CP"), if Canexus and CP can agree to commercial terms. (Id. at 5.) Board precedent establishes that emergency service orders are to be used in true service emergencies, and not as a means of addressing commercial disputes. See, e.g., Albemarle Corp. – Alternative Rail Service – Line of the Louisiana & North West R.R., STB Finance Docket No. 34931, slip op. at 4 (STB served Oct. 6, 2006); Keokuk Jct. Ry. – Alternative Rail Service – Line of Toledo, Peoria & Western Ry., STB Finance Docket No. 34397, slip op. at 6 (STB served Oct. 31, 2003).

To be fair, Canexus did not ask the Board to issue an emergency service order.

Canexus recognized that it had entered into a binding contract with UP. That contract would apply to traffic that UP interchanges at Kansas City with BNSF or CP or some other carrier.

It is unnecessary for purposes of this pleading to address the other arguments raised by BNSF. UP will address several other issues raised by the Board's order, including the Board's indication that it will apply a different standard for issuing emergency service orders to situations involving chlorine and other highly toxic materials, in its opening statement under the procedural schedule established for this case.

And, UP expresses no view on how the Board should resolve commercial disputes between Canexus and other carriers.

Respectfully submitted,

J. MICHAEL HEMMER LOUISE A. RINN DANIELLE E. BODE Union Pacific Railroad Company 1400 Douglas Street Omaha, Nebraska 68179

Phone: (402) 544-3309

MICHAEL L. ROSENTHAL Covington & Burling LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Phone: (202) 662-6000

Attorneys for Union Pacific Railroad Company

October 19, 2011

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 19th day of October 2011, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served by email and by first-class mail, postage prepaid, on:

Thomas W. Wilcox Edward D. Greenberg Svetlana Lyubchenko GKG Law, P.C. 1054 31st Street NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20007

Samuel M. Sipe, Jr. Anthony J. LaRocca Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Terence M. Hynes Sidley Austin LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Michael L. Rosenthal

Mus I M